The Development of Application Profile for OAK Institutional Repository

Mihwa Lee Kongju National University, South Korea leemh@kongju.ac.kr Jee-Hyun Rho
Pusan National University, South Korea
jhrho@pusan.ac.kr

Eun-Ju Lee
Dongeui University, South Korea
ejulee@deu.ac.kr

OAK (Open Access Korea) hosted by National Library of Korea is the national portal for integrated search of IRs, participating universities, public institutions, researches, and businesses. OAK has collected metadata from member IR systems, and has accumulated them according to the OAK metadata scheme by mapping IRs metadata into OAK metadata scheme. OAK had developed OAK metadata scheme based on DSpace to build OAK portal in 2009, but the initial OAK metadata schemes could not accommodate all the elements that participant IRs wanted. As a result, it brought into missing metadata in harvesting and mapping the metadata from member IR systems, and into searching without the elaborate elements. For solving these problems, this study is to develop new standard OAK application profile which could accommodate member IRs metadata by analyzing member IR metadata and comparing elements between OAK metadata and major IRs such as DSpace, Eprints, BEPress, ETD-db, and dCollection sophisticatedly.

Problems

OAK (Open Access Korea) hosted by National Library of Korea is the national portal for integrated search of IRs. OAK has collected metadata from member IR systems, and has accumulated them according to the OAK metadata scheme. But there are some difficulties in retrieving the resources in national portal level because each IR has used its own elements and sub-elements. Therefore, OAK metadata scheme should be developed to consolidate all the IRs metadata elements.

Objectives

This study is to develop new standard OAK application profile.

- to accommodate and map local IR metadata elements
- to contribute to retrieving the resources in national portal level

Research Methods

- (1) The metadata schemes of 17 among 33 OAK IRs were analyzed in aspect of 15 main elements of Dublin Core.
 - Various title elements as sub-elements were used such as translated title, original title, subtitle, part title, and part number.
 - Claim (request for a patent), version (peer-reviewed), and provenance (owner of resource) were used as the element refinement in description element
 - Citation description methods were various according to IRs.
- (2) The representative standard IR metadata schemes were compared such as DSpace, Eprints, BEPress, ETD-db, and dCollection (IR for college and university libraries in Korea, host by KERIS). <TABLE 1> shows the comparison of only title and description elements between 5 IR metadata schemes.

TABLE 1: Comparison table of title and description sections of 5 IR metadata schemes (in part).

DSpace		E Drinto	DEDroce	ETD-db		dCollection	
element	qualifier	- EPrints	BEPress	element	qualifier	element	qualifier
title		title	title, article title	title		title	
	alternative	alternative title			alternative		alternative
							subTitle
							translated
description		description		description		description	
	tableofcontents						tableofcontent s
	abstract		abstract		abstract		abstract
	provenance	provenance					Provenance
	sponsorship	funder					Sponsorship
		grant number					
		parent project					
	statementofres ponsibility						
	uri						descriptionURI
			embargo perio d				
			versions		release		
			peer reviewed				indexed
		publication stat	publication stat				
		us	us				
		data collection method					
		contact					
		administrative note			note		
		additional information					
		HIGUOTI		degree	name		
		divisions*	disciplines	acgice	discipline		
		GIVIOIOI IO			grantor		
					level		localRemark
			comments				

Development of New OAK Application Profiles

The previous OAK metadata scheme was changed -- new elements and sub-elements were added, and elements with similar meaning were integrated into one element or sub-element. The features of new OAK application profile are as follows:

- 1. The different elements which have same meaning are unified to one element or sub-element. For example, abstract and summary are unified to summary as sub-elements of description.
- 2. It is to use controlled vocabularies to accommodate the various values such as subjectType, degreeType, eprintType, contributorType, nameldentifier, and identifierType. For example, it is possible to differentiate and accommodate various contributor such as author, advisor, editor, translator, illustrator, examiner, department, reviewer by inputting controlled vocabularies from contributorType.
- 3. New elements and sub-elements are added such as subject.keyword (keyword written by author), and description.degree (degree type) and so on.
- 4. According to Dublin Core Metadata Initiative Citation Working Group (2005), bibliographicCitation is to capture the bibliographic citation information for a resource. But, bibliographicCitation is not enough to construct and describe the citation information in uniform. Therefore, in OAK, the citation element was changed as the main and administrative element and was subdivided into citation.title, citation.date, citation.volume, citation.number, citation.startPage, citation.endPage, citation.conferenceName, citation.conferenceNumber, citation.conferenceDate, citation.author, citation.author, citation.edition, citation.place, citation.publisher to embrace all kinds of resource's citation information. These citation related elements are used only as administrative element to get the data from input interface, and identifier.bibliographicCitation was used as element in displaying the citation information by collecting data in citation such as < TABLE 2>.

TABLE 2: Citation description example.

Property	Value String	notes
OAK:title	A critique of the FRBR user task and their modifications	
OAK:contributor (value=aut hor)	Hider, Philip	
OAK:publisher	Taylor & Francis	
OAK:identifier.bibliographic	Cataloging and Classification Quarterly, 55(2), 55-74.	This data is displayed for t
Citation	Cataloging and Classification Quarterly, 33(2), 33-74.	he citation information.
OAK:citation.title	Cataloging and Classification Quarterly	This data is used only for
OAK:citation.volume	55	getting the data from user.
OAK:citation.number	2	
OAK:citation.date	2016	
OAK:citation.startPage	55	
OAK:citation.endPage	74	

Conclusion

This study is to develop new OAK application profile which could accommodate local IR metadata element. It could contribute to retrieval with elaborate element in national portal level.

References

- •BEPress Home Page. Retrieved, September 20, 2016, from http://www.bepress.com.
- •Chung, Yeon-Kyoung, Na-Nee Lee and Mihaw Lee. (2007). A study of an extension of metadata for
- institutional repository. Journal of the Korean Society for Library and Information Science, 41(1), 323-344.

 Digital Commons@Otterbein. Retrieved, September 20, 2016, from
- http://digitalcommons.otterbein.edu/metadata_elements_master_list.pdf.

 DSpace Home Page. Retrieved, September 20, 2016, from http://www.dspace.org.
- Dublin Core Metadata Initiative Citation Working Group. (2005). Guidelines for Encoding Bibliographic Citation Information in Dublin Core Metadata. Retrieved, September 20, 2016, from http://dublincore.org/documents/dc-citation-guidelines.
- Ensom, Tom and Alexis Wolton. (2012). RDE Metadata Profile for EPrints. Retrieved, September 20, 2016, from http://www.data-archive.ac.uk/media/375386/rde_eprints_metadataprofile.pdf.
- Jones, Richard. (2004). DSpace VS. ETD-db: Choosing software to manage electronic theses and dissertations. Ariadne, 38. Retrieved, September 20, 2016, from http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue38/jones.
- KERIS. (2005). dCollection Manual for System Managers. Seoul: KERIS.