#### Maintaining RDF Vocabularies in Spreadsheets

Gregg Kellogg <u>gregg@greggkellogg.net</u> @gkellogg http://ruby-rdf.github.io/presentations/Maintaining-RDF-Vocabularies-DC2017/index.html

# Origins of Practice

- Spreadsheets have been used (an abused) for many purposes over time.
  - Convenient way to edit and maintain small amounts of tabular data
  - ... Sometimes grows to too much data
  - ... Sometimes used for non-tabular data

## Origins of Practice

- W3C maintains many small vocabularies
  - Specifications may define namespaces with terms
  - Test Suites may extend other test suites and/or need to reduce to available datasets for processing (e.g., EARL reports)

#### Uses of Vocabularies

- Machine-readable metadata
  - Classes, Properties, Datatypes, Domains, Ranges
- Human-readable
  - Developer Documentation
- Generate/maintain JSON-LD Contexts
  - Context can include the full vocabulary definition extractable as RDF

# General Approaches

- No vocabulary document (described in prose only)
- Manage RDF serializations by hand (RDF/XML, Turtle, JSON-LD)
- Use Protégé
- Create alternate representations automatically
  - Possible for RDF/XML, Turtle, N-Triples
  - Awkward for RDFa or JSON-LD
- Use a convenient non-RDF representation to derive other formats
  - Spreadsheets!

### No vocabulary

- Example: <u>RDFa Processor</u> <u>Status</u>\*
  - Advantages: Simple for editor
  - Disadvantages: No machine-readable representation

- An rdfa:Error must be generated when the document fails to be fully processed as a result of non-conformant Host Language markup.
- A rdfa:Warning must be generated when a CURIE prefix fails to be resolved.
- A rdfa:Warning must be generated when a Term fails to be resolved.

# Hand-built vocabulary

- Example: <u>RDF Schema</u>\*
  - Advantages: Simpler for spec editor.
- All things described by RDF are called *resources*, and are instances of the class rdfs:Resource. This is the class of everything. All other classes are <u>subclasses</u> of this class. rdfs:Resource is an instance of rdfs:Class.
- Disadvantages: HTML and N-Triples not maintained together. HTML not machine-readable

```
rdfs:Resource a rdfs:Class ;
rdfs:isDefinedBy <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> ;
rdfs:label "Resource" ;
rdfs:comment "The class resource, everything." .
```

### Protégé

- Great tool for managing complex vocabularies
  - Advantages: WYSIWYG ontology development. Fully supported tool.
  - Disadvantages: Little control over serialization. Details can get lost in UI.



### **RDF Source Document**

#### • Example: <u>JSON-LD Test-Suite</u>\*

- Hand maintain Turtle RDFS
   document and JSON-LD context
- Direct transform Turtle to JSON-LD
- Use Haml template to generate
   <u>HTML</u> from JSON-LD.
- Advantages: Single source, consistency
- Disadvantages: Context made by hand. JSON-LD may not be convenient.



\* https://github.com/json-ld/json-ld.org/tree/master/test-suite

# Vocabulary in CSV

- Examples: <u>CSVW[1]</u>, <u>ShEx[2]</u>
  - Information in spreadsheet
  - script creates JSON object indexed by type
  - after processing, objects gathered into JSON-LD to describe both context and vocabulary
  - Custom Turtle generation
  - Haml template-based <u>HTML</u>
     generation

[1] <u>https://github.com/w3c/csvw/tree/gh-pages/ns</u>
 [2] <u>https://github.com/shexSpec/shexspec.github.io/tree/master/ns</u>



# RDF.rb serialization support

- Ruby RDF.rb internalizes RDFS+ vocabularies for convenience and reasoning
  - Read and RDF serialization to create Vocabulary
  - Vocabulary supports .to\_ttl, .to\_jsonId, and .to\_html with reasonable defaults which may be customized